

THE $N \rightarrow \infty$ LIMIT OF THE CHIRAL POTTS MODEL

HELEN AU-YANG and JACQUES H.H. PERK*

*Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078-0444, USA[†]*

ABSTRACT

We consider the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits of the N -state chiral Potts model. We find new weights that satisfy the star-triangle relations with spin variables either taking all the integer values or having values from a continuous interval. The models provide chiral generalizations of Zamolodchikov's Fishnet Model.

1. Introduction

In the integrable N -state chiral Potts model¹ the Boltzmann weights $W(a-b)$ and $\bar{W}(a-b)$ — corresponding to the horizontal and vertical pair interactions between spins in states a and b — satisfy the “star-triangle” equation^{2,3}

$$\sum_{d=1}^N \bar{W}_{qr}(b-d) W_{pr}(a-d) \bar{W}_{pq}(d-c) = R_{pqr} W_{pq}(a-b) \bar{W}_{pr}(b-c) W_{qr}(a-c). \quad (1)$$

The resulting weights have a product form.^{2,3} Here we rewrite⁴ these results as

$$\frac{W_{pq}(n)}{W_{pq}(0)} = \left(\frac{\sin \theta_p \sin \phi_q}{\sin \theta_q \sin \phi_p} \right)^{n/2N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin[\pi(j - \frac{1}{2})/N - (\theta_q - \phi_p)/2N]}{\sin[\pi(j - \frac{1}{2})/N + (\phi_q - \theta_p)/2N]}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\bar{W}_{pq}(n)}{\bar{W}_{pq}(0)} = \left(\frac{\sin \theta_p \sin \theta_q}{\sin \phi_p \sin \phi_q} \right)^{n/2N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin[\pi(j-1)/N + (\phi_q - \phi_p)/2N]}{\sin[\pi j/N - (\theta_q - \theta_p)/2N]}, \quad (3)$$

using the substitutions

$$\frac{b_p}{c_p} = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\theta_p/N}, \quad \frac{a_p}{d_p} = e^{i\phi_p/N}, \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/N}, \quad \frac{c_p}{d_p} = \left(\frac{e^{i\phi_p} \sin \phi_p}{e^{i\theta_p} \sin \theta_p} \right)^{1/2N}, \quad (4)$$

where θ_p and ϕ_p differ by a factor N from those of Baxter.⁴ The last equality in (4) follows from the integrability conditions^{2,3} or

$$k = \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta_p - \phi_p)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta_p + \phi_p)} = \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta_q - \phi_q)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta_q + \phi_q)}. \quad (5)$$

*Supported in part by NSF Grants Nos. DMS 91-06521 and INT 91-12563

[†]Work done at RIMS, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606, Japan

The Fourier transforms, which are the weights after duality transformation,^{2,3}

$$W_{pq}^{(f)}(n) = N^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega^{-jn} W_{pq}(j), \quad \overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(n) = N^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega^{-jn} \overline{W}_{pq}(j), \quad (6)$$

can be rewritten as

$$\frac{W_{pq}^{(f)}(n)}{W_{pq}^{(f)}(0)} = e^{in(\theta_q - \phi_q + \theta_p - \phi_p)/2N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin[\pi(j-1)/N + (\bar{\theta}_q - \bar{\theta}_p)/2N]}{\sin[\pi j/N - (\bar{\phi}_q - \bar{\phi}_p)/2N]}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(n)}{\overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(0)} = e^{in(\theta_q - \phi_q - \theta_p + \phi_p)/2N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin[\pi(j - \frac{1}{2})/N - (\bar{\theta}_q - \bar{\theta}_p)/2N]}{\sin[\pi(j - \frac{1}{2})/N + (\bar{\phi}_q - \bar{\phi}_p)/2N]}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\bar{\phi}_p = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_p + \phi_p) + \frac{1}{2}i \log \frac{\sin \phi_p}{\sin \theta_p}, \quad \bar{\theta}_p = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_p + \phi_p) - \frac{1}{2}i \log \frac{\sin \phi_p}{\sin \theta_p}. \quad (9)$$

By direct substitution we can show that if the weights satisfy the star-triangle equation (1) then their Fourier transforms satisfy the star-triangle equation

$$\frac{N}{R_{pqr}} \overline{W}_{qr}^{(f)}(a) W_{pr}^{(f)}(b) \overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(a+b) = \sum_{d=0}^{N-1} W_{pq}^{(f)}(b-d) \overline{W}_{pr}^{(f)}(a+b-d) W_{qr}^{(f)}(d). \quad (10)$$

This equation has the exact same form as equation (1), as can be seen replacing $a \rightarrow a-b$, $b \rightarrow b-c$, $a+b \rightarrow a-c$, and $c+d \rightarrow d$.

For $\theta_p = \phi_p$, $\theta_q = \phi_q$ we recover the self-dual Fateev and Zamolodchikov⁵ solution with $W^{(f)}(n)/W^{(f)}(0) = \overline{W}(n)/\overline{W}(0)$, $\overline{W}^{(f)}(n)/\overline{W}^{(f)}(0) = W(n)/W(0)$.

2. The $N \rightarrow \infty$ Limit of the Boltzmann Weights

We shall now obtain the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the Boltzmann weights (2) and (3) or their dual weights (7) and (8). Note that these all have the product form

$$\frac{W(n)}{W(0)} = A^{n/N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin(\pi(j+\alpha-1)/N)}{\sin(\pi(j+\beta-1)/N)}, \quad A = \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}, \quad (11)$$

with α and β given constants depending on parameters θ_p , θ_q , ϕ_p , and ϕ_q satisfying (5). Also, the condition on A guarantees that $W(n+N) = W(n)$. We can rewrite formula (11) in terms of a convergent series in powers of $1/N$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{W(n)}{W(0)} &= \log \left(A^{n/N} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{j+\alpha-1}{j+\beta-1} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{l+1}(\alpha) - B_{l+1}(\beta)}{(l+1)!} \left(\frac{2\pi}{N} \right)^l \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^l \log \left(\frac{\sin z}{z} \right) \Big|_{z=\pi n/N}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $0 < n < N$ and we used the functional relations of Bernoulli polynomials⁶ $\sum_{m=0}^p \binom{p}{m} B_m(x) y^{p-m} = B_p(x+y)$ and $B_p(x+1) - B_p(x) = px^{p-1}$.

There are three regimes for the limit, the first having $N \rightarrow \infty$, while n remains finite. In this case, (12) results in

$$\text{I.} \quad \frac{W(n)}{W(0)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{j+\alpha-1}{j+\beta-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{-n} \frac{j-\beta}{j-\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad -\infty < n < \infty. \quad (13)$$

The second regime has $N, n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $2\pi n/N \rightarrow x$ remains finite. Consequently, the weights $W(n)$ in (11), which originally took N different values and which were periodic modulo N , now depend on the continuous spin values x and they are periodic modulo 2π . Using the asymptotic formula⁷ for $\log \Gamma(x)$, and $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$ we find that (12) in this limit gives

$$\text{II.} \quad W(x) = C A^{\frac{x}{2\pi} - [\frac{x}{2\pi}]} \left| \sin \frac{1}{2}x \right|^{\alpha-\beta}, \quad (14)$$

where $[x]$ stands for integral part of x and

$$C = W(0) (N/\pi)^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(\beta) / \Gamma(\alpha). \quad (15)$$

The results in Regimes I and II are consistent with duality transformation. More precisely, if the limiting weights are in Regime II, their Fourier transforms are in Regime I, and vice versa. Indeed, the infinite sum in the Fourier transform can be summed using the formula⁸

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{inx} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{j+\alpha-1}{j+\beta-1} = \frac{2^{\beta-\alpha-1} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)} e^{\frac{1}{2}i(1-\alpha-\beta)(x-\pi)} \left| \sin \frac{1}{2}x \right|^{\beta-\alpha-1}, \quad (16)$$

for $0 < x < 2\pi$ (and periodically extended) and with α and β in (13) calculated from (2) or (3), to give an identical formula for the weights as in (14) for Regime II, with new values of α and β corresponding to (7)-(9). This generalizes the large- N limit of Fateev and Zamolodchikov.⁵

A third intermediate regime can also appear with $N, n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $n/f(N) \rightarrow x$ for some function $f(N)$ that blows up slower than N . We have

$$\text{III.} \quad W(x) = D A^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{sign}(x)} |x|^{\alpha-\beta}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad (17)$$

which is a chiral generalization of Zamolodchikov's Fishnet Model.¹⁰ In (17), $D = C A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, with C given by (16). The sign function in (17) emerges if we rewrite (11) for negative n in the form (12), see also (13), and compare constants in (17) for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$; then we can use $\Gamma(1-\alpha)/\Gamma(1-\beta) = A \Gamma(\beta)/\Gamma(\alpha)$, which follows from $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi/\sin(\pi x)$, leading to an extra factor A for $x < 0$. The sign function relates directly to the effect of the integer part in (14) near $x = 0$; in fact, by the identical reasoning for Regime II, we need the same extra factor A for $-2\pi < x < 0$ in (14).

We note that in the previously known cases^{5,10} $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $A = 1$. We have only one condition (11) on A allowing the deformations (13), (14), and (17) to provide integrable field theories with chirality.

3. The $N \rightarrow \infty$ Limit of the Chiral Potts Model

Having obtained explicit prescriptions on how to take the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the Boltzmann weights of the N -state chiral Potts model, we are now in a position to examine the various limits of the star-triangle equation (1). The summation over d must be split in several pieces as we must choose from which regimes to take the three weights in the summand. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, we can rigorously show that most pieces can be ignored.

The least complicated (but also a most interesting) case occurs when all the $\beta - \alpha$ in the formulae of section 2 are between 0 and 1, defining a principal domain for the spectral parameters. In this case, the three types of large- N behavior do not mix. More precisely, if we take the three spin states a , b , and c in (1) mutually separated according to one and the same regime in the sense of the previous section — so that we are taking the same type of limit for all three weights in the right-hand side of (1) — the dominant part of the sum over spin state d comes from the piece with all three weights in the left-hand side of (1) from the same regime. This is easily proved as the formulae in section 2 give full control over the leading term and the corrections in the large- N limit.

For example, taking Regime II, the star-triangle equations become

$$\int_0^{2\pi} dw \overline{W}_{qr}(y-w) W_{pr}(x-w) \overline{W}_{pq}(w-z) = R W_{pq}(x-y) \overline{W}_{pr}(y-z) W_{qr}(x-z), \quad (18)$$

after a suitable renormalization of R . This has the solution

$$\begin{aligned} W_{pq}(x) &= C_{pq} e^{(\gamma_p - \gamma_q)(\frac{x}{2\pi} - [\frac{x}{2\pi}])} \left| \sin \frac{1}{2}x \right|^{\lambda_p - \lambda_q}, \\ \overline{W}_{pq}(x) &= \overline{C}_{pq} e^{(\gamma_p + \gamma_q)(\frac{x}{2\pi} - [\frac{x}{2\pi}])} \left| \sin \frac{1}{2}x \right|^{\lambda_q - \lambda_p - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with

$$\lambda_p = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{\sin \theta_p}{\cos \theta_p + k} \right), \quad \gamma_p = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 + 2k \cos \theta_p + k^2}{1 - k^2} \right), \quad (20)$$

and similar formulae for λ_q , γ_q , λ_r , and γ_r , as follows from the prescriptions given in the previous two sections. If $\lambda_p < \lambda_q < \lambda_r < 1 + \lambda_p$ all six Boltzmann weights in (18) are real and positive and the parameters are in the principal domain.

We remark that it is straightforward to show that the weights obtained by just dropping the integral part $[x/2\pi]$ in (19) also satisfy the same star-triangle equation (18). This solution can be viewed as the Fateev-Zamolodchikov solution⁵ with a site-dependent gauge transformation. We emphasize that we have both numerically verified and analytically proved that the “chiral” weights (19) also satisfy (18).

Since $W_{pq}(x)$ and $\overline{W}_{pq}(x)$ are now functions of x modulo 2π , their Fourier transforms

$$W_{pq}^{(f)}(j) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dx e^{-ijx} W_{pq}(x), \quad \overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(j) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dx e^{-ijx} \overline{W}_{pq}(x), \quad (21)$$

are over all the integer value j , ranging from $-\infty$ to ∞ . Substituting (21) into (18), we find that the Fourier transforms satisfy different star-triangle equations,

$$\frac{2\pi}{R} \overline{W}_{qr}^{(f)}(a) W_{pr}^{(f)}(b) \overline{W}_{pq}^{(f)}(a+b) = \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} W_{pq}^{(f)}(b-d) \overline{W}_{pr}^{(f)}(a+b-d) W_{qr}^{(f)}(d), \quad (22)$$

in which the sum is over all integer values of d .

Taking Regime I instead of Regime II, leads to the proof of a star-triangle equation of the form (21) instead of (18). This is an interesting identity in the theory of generalized hypergeometric series generalizing the Dougall-Ramanujan identity.⁹

Choosing Regime III, we can prove a star-triangle equation of the form (18), but with integration over $(-\infty, +\infty)$. This generalizes Symanzik's integral,¹¹ which Zamolodchikov used to prove the star-triangle equation for the Fishnet Model¹⁰ and which further provided the proof for the Fateev-Zamolodchikov model⁵ via a conformal transformation, $\xi = \tan \frac{1}{2}w$.

Finally, as in our joint work with Baxter,² we can let the R -matrix be the product of four weights of any of the above types I, II, or III, i.e.

$$R(a, b, c, d) = \overline{W}_{p_1 q_1}(a - c) W_{p_1 q_2}(c - b) \overline{W}_{p_2 q_2}(d - b) W_{p_2 q_1}(a - d). \quad (23)$$

Then any such infinite-dimensional R -matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation. But our solutions are very different from those of Gaudin,¹² Shibukawa and Ueno.¹³

References

1. H. Au-Yang, B. M. McCoy, J. H. H. Perk, S. Tang, and M.-L. Yan, *Phys. Lett.* **123A** (1987) 219.
2. R. J. Baxter, J. H. H. Perk, and H. Au-Yang, *Phys. Lett.* **128A** (1988) 138.
3. H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **19** (1989) 57.
4. R. J. Baxter, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52** (1988) 639.
5. V. A. Fateev and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Phys. Lett.* **92A** (1982) 37, see also 35.
6. *Higher Transcendental Functions* Vol. 1, H. Bateman Manuscript Project, eds. A. Erdélyi et al., (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), eqs. 1.13 (2), (6).
7. Ref. 6, eq. 1.18 (1).
8. Ref. 6, eqs. 2.1.2 (6), 2.9 (1), (13), (22), (27).
9. Ref. 6, eq. 4.5 (7).
10. A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Phys. Lett.* **97B** (1980) 63.
11. K. Symanzik, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **3** (1972) 734.
12. M. Gaudin, *J. Phys. France* **49** (1988) 1857.
13. Y. Shibukawa and K. Ueno, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **25** (1992) 239; *Waseda University preprint*.

Errata

Formula (12) has the higher orders misprinted and is only correct to the order needed in the actual $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits presented in this work. The result correct in higher order can be found in (3.15) with (3.13) of math.QA/9906029 which has been published as *Physica A* **268** (1999) 175–206. That work goes also into far more detail in the subject matter.